

Drafting Committee for the Tirana Communiqué 2024

Melanie Rosenbaum, Holy See, Co-chair;
Horia Onița, ESU, Co-Chair;
Linda Pustina, Albania, Co-Chair.

BFUG meeting
Madrid, 16-17 November 2023

Formulating a Communiqué is putting the current “spirit of Bologna” into words

The Bologna Process, that has its 25th anniversary in 2024, brought 49 countries together to cooperate on the basis of shared goals to realise common commitments around higher education within a voluntary intergovernmental process, including important stakeholders, building trust by dialogue and creating the Bologna tools as instruments to enhance transparency and comparability of systems and qualifications.

- Analysing the past Communiqués during the 25 years of the Bologna Process, the DC realised that there are some topics that Ministers committed to follow upon, but this is so far not reflected in the recent policy discussions. Analysis is available online, Drafting Committee area on ehea.info.
- There are also emerging issues that so far have not been taken up systematically but in various fora and with various actors (transnational education, etc.).
- There are several topics dealt with in various sub-structures that need to be tackled more substantially and systematically (micro-credentials, AI, LLL, etc.).
- The DC sees for the moment a weighing of the parts of the Communiqué devoted to implementation (about 40%), projections for 2024-2027 (30%) and outlook to 2027-2030 (and beyond) of about 20% (plus 10% for introduction and conclusions).

Challenges

- Recalling: The Tirana Communiqué (TC) is a document to be adopted by Ministers, should have 3-5 pages, and should be policy level. One has to be able to read and use it as a stand-alone document.
- Drafting Committee (DC) meanwhile received contributions from all working groups, and they were very different in approach, length, and level of concreteness.
- Re-reading the vision of the Rome Communiqué (“inclusive, innovative, interconnected EHEA”), the DC realized to have not concrete enough information on the sub-structures’ link and the state of their contributions to the goals the Bologna Process/EHEA put itself.
- Therefore, the DC has asked before the last Board meeting every WG (Co-Chairs) four questions, as follows, to be further discussed at this BFUG meeting, to be able to better take the current pulse and formulate commitments for the next three years and for the time remaining until 2030 - and beyond.

Examples of issues that came up in discussions

- There was not really a following up on **employability/professional recognition** (Yerevan, Paris, blank), **intercultural understanding** (Paris), **mobility** (Leuven/Louvain).
- New focus areas emerge: **digitalisation, AI, sustainability, ...**
- Overarching themes are dealt with in several BFUG sub-structures: **micro-credentials, transnational education.**
- **LLL** seems dealt with unsystematically throughout the years.
- Did anything (positive) happen regarding **funding/resources**?
- Did we achieve what we envisioned regarding the **link with ERA/research, and innovation**?
- Is the Monitoring Report - next to being our most important tool to showcase achievements - also used to identify **areas of action** (short, mid, long term)?
- How “successful” is the **PLA approach**? How to verify?

Questions to WGs (I) – were to be answered before/in the BOARD meeting

- **General questions to all WGs/TFs:**

1. What are the **main achievements** of your working group/task force in the working period 2020-2024 that you wish to see appearing in the communiqué? *If relevant, please group them under the three “i”-principles laid out in the Rome Communiqué (“inclusive, innovative, interconnected EHEA”).*
2. If your WG/TF was to continue beyond 2024, what would be the **objectives** to be achieved for the 2024-2027 working period?
3. If applicable, what **commitments** would you want Ministers to take especially for the 2024-2027 working period?
4. Do you envisage a **priority area or an area “neglected”** for the period until 2030, and which?

Questions to WGs (II) – were to be answered in written form after the BOARD meeting, latest until 12 October 2023

- **Questions to BICG**

- see the previous general questions, giving the coordinating role. Furthermore:

- **Questions regarding TPG A**

- Relation QF-EHEA <> EQF-LLL - (P) EQF-LLL vs. QF-EHEA - Discussion on harmonisation, internal vs. external viewpoints taken into account?

- **Questions regarding TPG B**

- LRC implementation? Automatic recognition? Reminder GloCo-ratif. (UNESCO); revision of the EAR manual;

- **Questions regarding TPG C**

- Cross-border QA; European Approach Joint Degrees; effective trans-national QA.

Questions to WGs (III) – were to be answered in written form after the BOARD meeting, latest until 12 October 2023

- **Questions to WG 2 - Fundamental Values**

- The Tirana Communiqué will be “stand-alone”, as the Rome Communiqué was. What are the key sentences to use as the the five definitions to be quoted in the Communiqué (as of ToR)?
Can those proposed in the Zero draft be used (lacking institutional autonomy)?

- **Questions to WG 3 - Social Dimension**

- See the reformulations in the Zero Draft Text.

Questions to WGs (IV) – were to be answered in written form after the BOARD meeting, latest until 12 October 2023

- **Questions to WG 4 - Learning & Teaching**

- The establishment of a SCL “substructure” (could be AG/WG/PLG/TPG/TF...) has to be discussed with the BFUG first, it seems not wise to specify already the type of group in the Communiqué (Workplan 2024-2027 will be discussed and approved only in Hungary).

- **Questions to TF EKS (Enhancing Knowledge Sharing); TF RoP; TF f. ERA**

- Lacking further input.

- **Questions to CG GPD**

- Policy Forum Statement not yet presented: How far do the two texts (not) overlap? What elements to include in the TC?

Zero draft

- The BFUG Board was presented with the zero draft 0.0 and discussed the approach and the questions.
- DC received feedback after the Board from WG San Marino, EURASHE, TF EKS, TPG A, BICG, WG L&T, WG FV, WG SD, ETUCE, and ENQA.
- On basis of that feedback, the DC revised the zero draft slightly, it is uploaded as BFUG zero draft 0.1 in pdf and word.
- We are happy to hear now your corrections, comments, suggestions, regarding directly the text proposed in “white” and “green”.
- We will this afternoon and tomorrow lead a more visionary discussion, not so much regarding a concrete drafting of the wording but about the “future of Bologna” on the basis of the questions of the previous slides, your contributions, and the necessary decisions, highlighted in the draft in “yellow”.

The Drafting committee will review your oral feedback, distribute tasks, and meet immediately after the BFUG on 17 November here in Madrid, for already taking up your input.

Please direct **further comments and written feedback** latest within two weeks after this BFUG meeting to the Co-Chairs via the Secretariat, i.e. within the 2 December 2023.

**Thank you for your attention
and collaboration!**